

Gynecologic Vaginal Brachytherapy (Mostly Post-Op Endometrial)

D. Jeffrey Demanes M.D. FACRO, FACR, FASTRO Director Brachytherapy UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine





Manual Source Loading - Should be Phased Out

Live Sources Nursing restrictions Radiation safety Applicator deficiencies Poor fixation Packing compresses



Robotic Brachytherapy













Prescription Dose and Fractionation

 VBT only:
 5-6 Gy x 5-6

 Calif. Endocurietherapy
 5-6 Gy x 5-6

 3 fx (PORTEC 2)
 7 Gy x 3

 3 fx (PORTEC 4)
 3Gy x 3

EBRT only

45-50 Gy

EBRT + VBT

39.6 - 45 central 5-6 Gy x 3







Vaginal Applicators Single Channel Cylinder

Multi-Channel Cylinder

Ovoid and Cylinder

Important considerations:

Cylinder size: Best fit & source to vag surface distance Distribution of sources: in relation to target and OARs



<section-header><section-header><image><image><text><image><text>

her to Shape the Future of

Brachytherapy

chyNext







Nucletron multi-channel VC – with curved tip channels

ether to Shape the Future of **Brachytherapy**



achyNext









Where to Calculate the Dose?

Radial and Tip: Single Channel: 0-5mm from applicator surface Multi-Channel: 2 - 4mm from surface Longitudinal Multiple points along applicator Bladder wall (or balloon or both) Rectal wall along length of applicator



Ovoid and Cylinder Treatment of vaginal apex and parametria







Electronic Brachytherapy (50 kVp)



Xoft Single Channel Vaginal Cylinder



Diameters: 2.0, 2.5, 3.0. and 3.5 cm

Applicator Length:10 cm

Clinical Target: 3-5 cm of vagina

Prescription: 6 Gy x 5 to cylinder surface or 7 Gy x 3 to 5 mm depth



Single Channel Xoft vs. HDR Vaginal Cylinder











Vaginal EBRT + Brachytherapy Results Endometrial Cancer								
EBRT w	rith Bra	achytherapy 💾	ind vaginal brachytherap;	y Pelvic	Vaginal			
Author/reference	N	Treatment*	Control/survival	recurrences (%)	recurrences (%)	Complications		
Lybeert et al. (27)	291 Postop	40 Gy EBRT + 5 Gy × 4 at 0.5 cm (HDR)	5-y NED I: 88% II: 68% III/IV: 50%	2.7	2.7	No, Grade 3/4		
Nori et al. (28)	300	40 Gy EBRT + 7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm (HDR)	20-y DFS, 96%	0.3	2	No, Grade 3/4		
Algan et al. ^b (29)	81	45 Gy EBRT + 4 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm (HDR) or 30 Gy surface (LDR)	5-y OS, 83%	3	4			
Cannon et al. (30)	50	45-51 Gy EBRT + 5 Gy × 3/7.8 × 2 at surface (HDR)	5-y OS, 82%	4	0	2%, Grade 3; 2%, Grade 4		
Fayed et al. (31)	1179	50.4 Gy EBRT + 2 Gy × 6 at 0.5 cm (HDR)/60-70 Gy total at surface (LDR) ^c	5-y OS, 70% (LDR) and 68% (HDR)	9 (LDR); 14 (HDR) ^{d,e}		1.9%, Grade 3/4		
Aalders et al. (25)	263	40 Gy EBRT 60 Gy at surface (LDR)	5-y OS, 91%	2*		0.7%, Grade 5; 0.4%, Grade 4		
		Brachytherapy	11 (2012) 58-0	67				

Brachyberapy

Prachythorapy without EPDT									
БГаспуше	ap	y without EBRT		Total pelvic	Vaginal				
Author/reference	N	Treatment	Control/survival	recurrences (%)*	recentences (%)	Complications			
Sorbe and Smeds (5)	404		5-y OS, 92%	3.0	0.7	6.9%, clinically significan			
Noyes et al. (6)	63	16.2 Gy × 2 ovoids at surface	OS, 98.5%	1.6	0	No, Grade 3/4			
Fanning et al. (7)	60	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	3-y NED, 100%	0	0	No, Grade 3/4			
Kloetzer et al. (8)	108	10 Gy × 4 to 0.5 or 1.0 cm	3-y OS, 96%	0	0-3	0-12.6%, Grade 3/4			
Hong et al. (9)	44	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	5-y DFS, 92%	0	2.9	No, Grade 3/4			
MacLeod et al. (10)	141	8.5 Gy × 4 at surface		2	1.4	No, Grade 3/4			
Weiss et al. (11)	122	7 Gy × 3 at surface	5-y NED, 94%	4.1	1.6	No, Grade 3/4			
Petereit et al. (12)	191	16.2 Gy × 2 ovoids at surface	4-y OS, 95%	0.5	0	0.5%, Grade 4			
Chadha et al. (13)	38	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	5-y OS, 93%	0	0	No, Grade 3/4			
Eltabbakh et al. (14)	332	30 Gy at 0.5 cm (LDR)	5-y DFS, 98.9%	0.6	0	2.1%, Grade 3/4			
Anderson et al. (15)	102	5 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	5-y OS, 84%	1.9	1	No, Grade 3/4			
Horowitz et al. (16)	164	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	5-y OS, 87%	0.6	1.2	No, Grade 3/4			
Rittenberg et al. (17)	53	5.6 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	5-y OS, 91%	0	0	No, Grade 3/4			
Jolly et al. (18)	50	5 Gy × 5 at 0.5 cm	4-y OS, 97%	2	2	No, Grade 3/4			
Alektiar et al. (19)	382	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm	5-y OS, 93%	0	0.8	0.5%, Grade 3; 0.25%, Grade 4			
Solhiem et al. (20)	100	7 Gv x 3 at 0.5 cm	3-y OS 97.9%	0	0	No. Grade 3/4			
Cengiz et al. (21)	31	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm (HDR) or 70 Gy at surface (LDR)	5-y OS, 93%	3.2	ŏ	No, Grade 3/4			
Atahan et al. (22)	128	5.5 Gy × 5 at 0.5 cm	5-y OS, 96%	1.6	0	No, Grade 3/4			
Lin et al. (23)	42	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm (HDR) 65 Gy at surface, 30 Gy at 0.5 cm (LDR)		0	2	No, Grade 3/4			
McCloskey et al. (24)	75	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm		2.6	1.3				
Aalders et al. (25)	277	60 Gy at surface (LDR)	5-y OS, 89%	6.9 ^b		1%, Grade 4			
Knocke et al. ^e (26)	325	8.5 Gy × 4-5 with intrauterine and 7 Gy × 1-2 with intravaginal at 2 cm from the center of the source	5-y OS, 52.7%	13.86		3.1%, Grade 3/4			
PORTEC-2 ^d (3)	213	7 Gy × 3 at 0.5 cm (HDR) 30 Gy	5-y, 84.8%	3.8	1.8	2.3%, Grade 3;			
		at 0.5 cm (LDR)				no, Grade 4			

Conclusions: Vaginal Brachytherapy

Brachytherapy

Prevents LR in interm. Risk endometrial Ca (LN-) Low morbidity (esp. monotherapy) – limited dose to OAR HDR: convenient, radiation safe, better dosimetry than LDR Multi-channel or custom app > better dosimetry than single channels E-Brachy is valid alternative, but higher mucosal dose than HDR HDR multi-channel: best therapeutic index (Target vs. OAR dose)